



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

Update on Monitoring and Evaluation (M & E) Framework and Upcoming Thematic Evaluation

Twenty Sixth Participants Committee Meeting (PC26)

Washington DC, USA October 9-11, 2018

Presentation

- Recap of PC25 in Washington DC
- Post PC25
- Baselines, Milestones and Targets
- PC Actions at this meeting
- Post PC26

Recap of PC25 in Washington DC

- The Revised M&E Results Framework was presented along with an update on the status of progress after endorsement by the Oversight Committee and an example of milestones and targets to be completed.
- The endorsed revised M&E Framework included suggestions on future evaluations and learning activities (section 6.0) including a 2018/2019 First Thematic Evaluation of the FCPF.

Post PC25 (work undertaken to date)

- The milestones and targets have been updated by the FMT.
- The TORs for the first thematic evaluation as laid out in the Revised M&E Framework have been written by the FMT.

Updated Milestones & Targets

- **Impact 1.1A**
- **Overarching Outcome 1.B**
 - Output 3.1a
 - Output 3.2a and 3.2b
 - Output 3.3a and 3.3b
- **Outcome 1.1A**
 - Output 1.1, 1.2a-d, 1.3a-f
- **Outcome 2.A and 2.D**
 - Output 2.2a-g
 - Output 2.3b
 - Output 2.4a and 2.4c
 - Output 4.1a and 4.1b
 - Output 4.2a and 4.2b

PC actions at this meeting

- The M&E framework baselines, milestones and targets to receive no objection from the PC or subsequent electronic endorsement.
 - The Results Framework with baselines, milestones and targets was posted on the website on September 28.
- Completion of the reconstitution of the Evaluation Oversight Committee

M&E Baselines, Milestones and Targets

Discussion/questions

- We are seeking endorsement of the updated baselines, milestones and targets against the following questions:
 - Are the baselines, milestones and targets **appropriate**?
 - Will it be **feasible** to measure progress against the baselines, milestones and targets?
 - If baselines, milestones and targets are inappropriate, what **alternatives** could be used?

Evaluation Oversight Committee Composition

Oversight Committee	
3 Donor Partner/Contributors	Germany
	TBC
	TBC
3 REDD Country Participants	Nigeria
	Chile
	TBC
Private Sector/International Organization	TBC
Indigenous Peoples Representative	TBC
CSO Representative	TBC
Delivery Partner Representative	World Bank

Reconstitution of Evaluation Oversight Committee

- Donor Partner/Contributors – 1 of 3 representatives (Germany) have volunteered.
- REDD+ Country Participants – 2 of 3 representatives (Nigeria and Chile) have volunteered, need to be approved.
- Delivery Partner Representative – World Bank have volunteered.
- Confirmation of Private Sector/IOs, IPs, CSOs still required.

Post PC26 (work to be undertaken)

- Launch of the first Thematic Evaluation 2018/2019
 - Endorsement of the TORs for the first thematic evaluation by the EOC
 - Selection of the firm to conduct the evaluation by the FMT
 - Review of related deliverables of the evaluation including inception report and final deliverable by the EOC.
- Simplification of the Participant's Progress Report Template will be undertaken



Thank you!

FCPF Management Team
(Kilara Suit, ksuit@worldbank.org)

Revised Participants Progress Report

Section A: Narrative Summary

- Question 1 and 2 combined and strengthened to avoid repetition.
- Question added on whether a REDD+ Strategy has been developed.

Section B: Readiness Progress

- Reduction in the number of categories for rating progress (from 6 options to 4)

Section D: Finance

- Strengthening of language to require values in US\$